## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ISOLINA PICON,	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 08-0766 SC
v. COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, et al.,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and con following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 a	ferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following A	DR process:
Court Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.E Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (  Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)  (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement)	ADR L.R. 5)  at conference with a Magistrate Judge is
appreciably more likely to meet their needs than a ADR phone conference and may not file this form ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-6	. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process: Private ADR (please identify proce	ess and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:  the presumptive deadline (The dead referring the case to an ADR proce	lline is 90 days from the date of the order ss unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	
Dated: May 7, 2008  Dated: May 7, 2008	Attorney for Defendant

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Dated:		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT	JUDGE